

CONCLUSIONS OF THE EVALUATION OF OUR SECOND MEETING IN BULGARIA

TEACHERS	STUDENTS
<p>1.1. The welcome: 1.1.1. The welcome at the airport was very good for 67% of the teachers.</p> <p>1.1.2. It was evaluated as very good by 100% of the teachers.</p> <p>1.1.3. All the teachers met the headmaster and other heads.</p> <p>1.1.4. 7% of the teachers said they were not shown around the school.</p> <p>1.2. The cost of the trip was reasonable for all the teachers.</p> <p>1.3. Accommodation: The teachers had no complaints.</p> <p>1.4. Treatment; 1.5. Transport: All the teachers were satisfied with the treatment and the transport.</p>	<p>1.1. The welcome: 1.1.1. The welcome at the airport was good for 59% of the students. Remark: 5% of the students answered that the welcome was “poor.”</p> <p>1.1.2. It was rather good for 56% of them.</p> <p>1.1.3. 89% of the students met the headmaster and other heads.</p> <p>1.1.4. 33% said they were not shown around the school.</p> <p>1.2. The cost of the trip was reasonable for 89% of the students. Remark: How did they know that the cost of the trip was reasonable??</p> <p>1.3. Accommodation: They enjoyed living with the families very much, though 5% said they did not have all the necessary requirements. 15% were not happy with the location and 10% lacked treatment and attention.</p> <p>1.4. Treatment; 1.5. Transport: The majority was satisfied with the treatment and the transport. However, some of them claimed that the transport caused some problems.</p>
<p>2. PARTICIPATION AND OUTCOME OF THE PROJECT</p> <p>2.1.; 2.2 The number of participants was average.</p> <p>2.3. Coverage: The coverage of the project in all points was from average to high.</p>	<p>2. PARTICIPATION AND OUTCOME OF THE PROJECT</p> <p>2.1.; 2.2 The number of participants was normal.</p> <p>2.3. Coverage: The coverage of the project in all points was from average to high.</p>
<p>3. CULTURE</p> <p>3.1.1. Both the teachers and the students claimed the number of visits was average.</p>	<p>3. CULTURE</p> <p>3.1.1. Both the teachers and the students claimed the number of visits was average.</p>

<p>3.1.2. Most of them found the visits interesting.</p> <p>3.1.3. 80% of the teachers said that the sightseeing were well-organized.</p> <p>3.2. The teachers were satisfied with the food.</p> <p>3.3. Learning: The majority learnt a lot about: Youth reality; Teachers’ situation; Educational system; The reality of the country; Family life</p> <p>3.4. The teachers and the students agreed that there were not significant differences among the participants due to religion.</p>	<p>3.1.2. Most of them found the visits interesting.</p> <p>3.1.3. The opinion of the students varied 26% - sometimes / 35% - mostly / 39% - always</p> <p>3.2. 52% of them enjoyed the meals. 35% were mostly satisfied, and 13% said they were sometimes satisfied.</p> <p>Remark: May they specify the problems they had?.</p> <p>3.3. Learning: The majority learnt a lot about: Youth reality; Teachers’ situation; Educational system; The reality of the country; Family life</p> <p>3.4. The teachers and the students agreed that there were not significant differences among the participants due to religion.</p>
<p>4. LANGUAGE</p> <p>All the participants used English in communication, which was evaluated as “fluent.”</p>	<p>4. LANGUAGE</p> <p>All the participants used English in communication, which was evaluated as “fluent.”</p>
<p>5. WORK</p> <p>5.1. All of the teachers had a work plan and clear objectives.</p> <p>Note: 6% of the teachers and 23% of the students needed more work sessions.</p> <p>5.2. All agreed that tasks were clear and shared out.</p> <p>5.3 – 5.4 All of the teachers and the majority of the students agreed that the school was well-equipped with new technology.</p>	<p>5. WORK</p> <p>5.1.9% of the students claimed they did not have the work plan and clear objectives.</p> <p>Note: 6% of the teachers and 23% of the students needed more work sessions.</p> <p>5.2. All agreed that tasks were clear and shared out.</p> <p>5.3 – 5.4 All of the teachers and the majority of the students agreed that the school was well-equipped with new technology.</p> <p>-</p>

