EVERYBODY FITS IN I. FRIST MEETING EVALUATION

Year: 2009 Host country: Spain

Country: Spain Guest Teachers: 16

	1. WELCOME AND STAY
	1.1. The welcome:
	1.1.1. At the airport: poor \square average (6,25%) good \square very good (93,75%)
	1.1.2. At the school: poor \square average (6,25%) good \square very good (93,75%)
	1.1.3. Did you meet the headmaster and other heads? Yes (100%) \mid No \square
	1.1.4. Were you shown around the school? Yes (100%) No □
	1.2. Was the cost of the trip reasonable? Yes (100%) No \square
	1.3. Accommodation:
	1.3.1. Did it have all the needed requirements? Yes (100%) \mid No \square
	1.3.2. Was it near the town centre or well located? Yes (100%) No \square
	1.3.3. Were treatment and attention good? Yes (100%) No \square
	1.3.4. Did students enjoy living with families from the country? Yes (100%) \mid No \square
w	1.4. Treatment:
nt	1.4.1. By the teachers: poor \square average (6,25%) good \square very good (93,75%)
lde	1.4.2. By the students: poor \square average \square good (6,25%) very good (93,75%)
stu	1.4.3. By the people from the country: poor \square average \square good \square very good (100%)
7	1.5. Transport:
an	1.5.1. Did you have your transport organised? Yes (100%) No □
Guest teachers and students	1.5.2. Was transport easy and fast? Yes (93,75%) No (6,25%) Sometimes □
he	2. PARTICIPATION AND OUTCOME OF THE PROJECT
ac	2.1. Number of students participating: Low \square Not enough \square Normal (100%)
ָר נ	2.2. Number of teachers: Low \square Not enough \square Normal (100%)
est	2.3. Coverage of the Project in:
D G	2.3.1. Local and regional institutions: Low \(\subseteq \) Average (18,75%) High (81,25%)
>	2.3.2. The media: Low □ Average (18,75%) High (81,25%)
in by	2.3.3. At school (notice boards): Low \square Average (6,25%) High (93,75%)
	2.3.4. Students' families: Low □ Average □ High (100%)
To be filled	3. CULTURE
e fi	3.1. Sightseeings:
o p	3.1.1. Number of visits: Few (6,25%) Enough (81,25%) Too many (12,5%)
T	3.1.2. Were the visits interesting? Sometimes (6,25%) Mostly (18,75%) Always (75%)
	3.1.3. Were they well organized? Sometimes □ Mostly (12,5%) Always (87,5%)
	3.2. Did you eat well? Sometimes $\square \mid Mostly \square \mid Always (100\%)$
	3.3. Have you learnt anything about?
	3.3.1. Youth reality: Yes (100%) No \square
	3.3.2. Teachers' working situation: Yes (100%) No □
	3.3.3. Educational system: Yes (100%) No □
	3.3.4. The reality of the country and the region: Yes (100%) No \square
	3.3.5. Family life in host country: Yes (81,25%) No (18,75%)
	3.4. Were there any kind of differences among participants due to religion ? No (100%) Sometimes □ Yes □ , What kind?
	3.5. Out of the differences you have noticed regarding culture and your country, which would you consider to be the most important?
	 The days seemed longer! But we easily got used to this The educational system / school programme / daily life / no – we have common origins

	1. What language have you used? English 68,75%, Spanish – French or Italian 6,25%, Englishanish 25%
4.2	2. Communication in general was: Fluent 62,5% Medium 37,5% Difficult □
4.3	3. Talks were: Fluent 50% Medium 50% Difficult □
4.4	4. With written texts : Fluent 81,25% Medium 12.5% Difficult □
4.5	5. In the work sessions : Fluent 75% Medium 25% Difficult \square
4.5	5. With partners : Fluent 50% Medium 37,5% Difficult □ (2 missing answers)
4.6	6. Did you achieve your goals when having a conversation in another language? Yes 100% No□
4.7	7. Have you developed your social skills ? Yes 93,75% No 6,25%
4.8	B. Have you improved your language skills ? Yes 93,75% No 6,25%
5.	WORK
5.1	I. Did you have a work plan ? Yes 100 % No □
	5.1.1. The number of our sessions have been?Too many 12,5% Enough 87,5% Not enough
	5.1.2. Did work sessions match the previous plan? Yes 68,75% No 31,25%
	5.1.3. Did we have clear objectives for our communication during work sessions? Yes 93,8% No 6,
5.2	2. Were the tasks clear for you? Yes 93,75% No 6,25%
	5.2.1. Were tasks shared out? Yes 93,75% No 6,25%
5.3	3. Have your teamwork skills been developed? Yes 93,75% No 6,25%
5.4	4. Was the school well-equipped with new technology ? Yes 100 % ∣ No □
5.5	5. (Only teachers) Have previous agreements been kept? Yes 93,8% No 6,2%
5.6	6. (Only teachers) Have new agreements for future visits been reached? Yes 100% No \square
5.7	7. About the aims :
	5.7.1. Has it been fulfilled?
	5.7.1.1. Motivate towards the other languages learning: Yes 100% \mid No \square , why?:
	5.7.1.2. Enhance the use of new technologies? Yes 93,7% No 6,3%, why?:
	5.7.1.3. Increase the feeling of European Citizenship? Yes 100% \mid No \square , why?:
	5.7.1.4. Break down prejudices of the participant countries? Yes 87,5% No 12,5%, why?:
	5.7.1.5. Improve the teaching practice?: Yes 93,7% No 6,3%, why?:
	5.7.1.6. Promote equality between sexes and cultures? Yes 87,5% No 12,5%, why?: 5.7.2. Were you aware of the Project objectives? Low \(\subseteq \) Average 37,5% High 62,5%
5 5	3.7.2. Were you aware of the Project objectives: Low \(\sigma\) + Average 37,5% + High 62,5% 3. About the finished product :
J.(5.8.1. Do you think that the production has: Low quality \Box Medium 37,5% High 62,59
	5.8.2. Are there any individual tasks done in your school? Many 37,5% Few 62,5%
	5.8.3. Your personal contribution to final products was:Low \Box Average 62,5% High37,59
5 (9. Have you attended any lessons ? Yes 75% No 25%
	10. Aspects to improve:
A	better management of work time. Working in multinational teams (Both students and teachers). Fine, work session with concrete activities. Everything was great.

5.12. **Suggestions:**

Elaborating a more compact programme. More work sessions with teachers and students together. The work session regarding to application form or organizational aspects only with coordinators. Trying not to arrange late evening working sessions.